

Tackling Adolescent Literacy A Systematic Guide for a School-Wide Approach

Lesson #1: Tie the Work to a Common Vision:

The North Carolina Vision and Mission Statement:

Vision Statement:

We are committed to building capacity to provide high quality professional development targeting adolescent literacy anchored in Evidence Based Practices and supported by technical assistance and coaching, resulting in increased teacher knowledge and sustained implementation of highly effective classroom practices.

• Mission Statement:

Our mission is to incrementally build a state wide approach to establish an understanding of the components of adolescent literacy programming and replicate successes across the state by building a core network of professional developers at the state, regional and local level.

Lesson #2: Deliver a Consistent Message:

- Establish a common framework for understanding adolescent literacy (Refer to the Adolescent Literacy Frame)
- Create a plan for delivering the initial information.

Lesson #3: Assess the Level of Readiness for Change:

- Take time to understand the school that is undergoing the change.
- Review data from various sources to identify areas of need. (Refer to the Literacy Profile Worksheet)
- Develop systems of shared leadership to help make decisions and drive the change.

Lesson #4: Design a Robust Plan for Professional Development, Coaching and Mentoring.

- Create a professional development plan that addresses the areas of need identified in the literacy profile. (Refer to the Guiding Questions and the Adolescent Literacy Action Plan Form)
- Build positive working relationships.

Lesson #5: Build Capacity:

- Identify individuals who exhibit potential and recruit them to assume leadership roles.
- Be prepared to address shifts in key personnel.
- Take advantage of the micro-credential system of certification.

Lesson #6: DON'T GIVE UP!

"Improvement seldom, if ever, occurs on a straight trajectory; it typically involves bumps and slides, as well
as gratifying leaps."

School Reform from the Inside Out: Policy, Practice, and Performance by Richard F. Elmore

Public Schools of North Carolina
State Board of Education



www.sim.kucrl.org

www.ncsip.org

www.evidenceforessa.org

www.adlit.org/webcasts/makingroom/

Alliance for Excellent Education. Adolescent Literacy: **Bridging the College- and Career-Readiness Gap** http://all4ed.org/reports-factsheets/adolescentliteracybridging/

Biancarosa, C., & Snow, C. E. (2006). **Reading next—A vision for action and research in middle and high school literacy**: A report to Carnegie Corporation of New York (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education. A full-text PDF is available for free download from www.all4ed.org and www.carnegie.org/literacy https://www.carnegie.org/media/filer-public/b7/5f/b75fba81-16cb-422d-ab59-373a6a07eb74/ccnv/report-2004/reading.pdf

Boulay, Beth, Barbara Goodson, Michael Frye, Michelle Blocklin, and Cristofer Price. (2015). Summary of research generated by **Striving Readers on the effectiveness of interventions for struggling adolescent readers** (NCEE 2016-4001). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. This report is available on the IES website at http://ies.ed.gov/ncee.http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/pubs/20164001/pdf/20164001.pdf

Carnegie Council on Advancing Adolescent Literacy. (2010). **Time to act: An agenda for advancing adolescent literacy for college and career success**. New York, NY: Carnegie Corporation of New York. A full-text PDF of this document is available for free download from www.carnegie.org/literacy https://www.carnegie.org/media/filer-public/8c/8d/8c8dfd82-b5fc-4bb9-8bd1-bb262175eaf4/ccny-report-2010 tta agenda.pdf

Kamil, M. L., Borman, G. D., Dole, J., Kral, C. C., Salinger, T., and Torgesen, J. (2008). Improving adolescent literacy: **Effective classroom and intervention practices: A Practice Guide** (NCEE #2008-4027). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc. This report is available on the IES Web site at http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc. http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED502398.pdf

Hock, M.R., Brasseur, I.F., Deshler, D.D. Catts, H.W., Marquis, J.G., Mark, C. A., Stribling, J.W. *What is the Reading Component Skill Profile of Adolescent Struggling Readers in Urban Schools?* Learning Disabilities Quarterly, 32 no1, Winter 2009.

What Content-Area Teachers Should Know About Adolescent Literacy. To download this document, visit www.nifl.gov. https://lincs.ed.gov/publications/pdf/adolescent literacy07.pdf



Addressing Adolescent Literacy

Listed below are some recommendations to consider when establishing a school-wide approach to adolescent literacy. (Adapted from: *Creating a Culture of Literacy: A Guide for Middle and High School Principals*, National Association of Secondary School Principals, Reston, Virginia, 2005)

- Identify strengths and weaknesses in the area of literacy.
 - o Develop a data plan to determine the literacy levels of your students.
 - Select areas for improvement
 - o Determine the systems changes needed to support a literacy initiative.
- Develop a Literacy Leadership Team to:
 - o Develop and prioritize needs and determine ho to meet those needs
 - Collect and analyze multiple forms of data to share with staff.
 - o Support the implementation of research-validated methods to increase literacy.
- Create a collaborative environment that fosters sharing and learning.
 - o Provide opportunities for teachers to work together and to discuss issues.
 - Encourage collaborative practices.
 - o Build capacity by fostering leadership skills within the faculty.
- Develop a school-wide organizational model that supports extended time for literacy instruction.
 - Create periods for different levels of intensity of instruction
 - Engage staff in decisions about how to change the schedule to provide literacy instruction.
- Develop a school-wide plan to address the professional development needs of teachers.
 - Focus professional development on research-validated practices that can be implemented school-wide for all teachers.
 - Target professional development for teachers who provide more intensive levels of literacy instruction.
 - o Provide job-embedded support, coaching and professional development.
 - o Provide administrative support, e.g. classroom visits, verbal support, etc.

The Frame

Key Topic: Adolescent Literacy

Addressing the reading and writing needs of all students to boost their academic performance and prepare them for college and/or careers.

is about...

	ofile
	/ profil
#1:	literacy p
idea #	a lite
\vdash	
Main	Create

Form a literacy leadership Main Idea #2: team.

Develop common understandings and connections. Main Idea #3:

> Develop selection criteria and form team. Determine the team's role and function. Identify strengths, needs & challenges Collect and analyze data. Ask guiding questions.

Conduct targeted conversations in meetings Support school improvement plan goals

> Establish a communication protocol Schedule regular meetings

Support a collaborative learning culture. Engage all stakeholders.

Identify appropriate instructional

changes needed.

Main Idea #4:

support.

Conduct ongoing and annual Main Idea #6: reviews.

Build a plan for professional development and support. Main Idea #5: Establish a continuum of literacy Consider the building blocks of literacy.

Align PD to student and teacher needs.

Provide opportunities to collaborate Foster teacher leaders. and practice.

Build a continuum of literacy instruction,

Monitor student outcomes.

Monitor implementation.

Conduct annual review and revise plans. Develop a plan for sustaining and scaling up.

Conduct ongoing assessment of the progress.

Keep the targets front and center.

Set measurable targets.

(What's important to understand about this?) So what?

Supporting adolescent literacy is complex and requires a lot of hard work! It involves extensive planning and collaboration at all levels.

EGibbs, PLeitzell, RPowers

Secondary Literacy Profile

Results on the State Assessment:

List percentage of students performing at each level.

,	ELA Assessment % Students at Level 1	% Students at Level 2	% Students at Level 2 % Students at Level 3 % Students at Level 4 % Students at Level 5	% Students at Level 4	% Students at Level 5
Grade 6					
Grade 7					
Grade 8					
Grade 9					
Grade 10					
Grade 11					
Grade 12					

Math	% Students at Level 1	% Students at Level 2 % Students at Level 3 % Students at Level 4 % Students at Level 5	% Students at Level 3	% Students at Level 4	% Students at Level 5
Assessment					
Grade 6					
Grade 7					
Grade 8					
Grade 9					
Grade 10					
Grade 11					
Grade 12					

Indicate all sources of assessment information you receive or have access to on the skills of your students in the following areas (state, district or school assessments, etc)

Reading comprehension

Instructional Challenges and Practices:

Identify the following items that would support the literacy levels of your students with a plus (+). Identify those that hinder the literacy levels of your students with a minus (-). Place your answers to the right of your choices.

Student mobility	Teacher expectations
Student motivation	English Proficiency
Instructional programs	Family Literacy Practices
Previous instruction	Parental Support
Student ability	Communication among teachers regarding student performance

What are the greatest reading-related challenges facing your students? Rank the areas of greatest concern (1) to areas of least concern (8). Place your ratings to the right of each choice.

Self-monitoring	Background Knowledge
Vocabulary	Stamina
Reading Comprehension	Decoding
Reading Fluency	Motivation

Building Blocks of Literacy Supports

Literacy supports should be provided at all levels (building blocks). Please list what is currently in place as well as what is needed to support literacy across the school.

Level of Instruction	Focus of Actions	Example	What We Have	What We Need
Level 1:	All students learn critical content	Teachers compensate for		
Ensure mastery of	required in the core curriculum,	limited literacy levels		
critical content	regardless of literacy levels.	through the use of explicit		
		teaching or enhancement		
		routines, adaptations, and		
		technology.		
Level 2:	Teachers embed selected learning	Teachers use a common		
Weave shared	strategies in core curriculum	reading strategy for		
strategies across	courses through direct	summarizing in course		
Sessel	explanation, modeling, and	activities throughout the		
	required application in content	year.		
	assignments.			
Level 3:	Students who have difficulty	When teachers notice that		
Support mastery of	mastering the strategies presented	some students are having		
shared strategies for	in courses by content teachers are	difficulty with one of the		
targeted strategies	provided more instruction through	common strategies (such		
a Price 3d angles:	specialized instruction delivered	as summarizing), the		
	by support personnel.	students get extra from		
		support personnel.		
Level 4:	Students learn literacy skills	Courses in research-based		
Provide more	through specialized, direct, and	reading programs such as		
intensive	intensive instruction through	Corrective Reading are		
intervention for those	carefully designed and delivered	offered to students with		
who need work on	courses.	basic skill deficits.		
basic literacy				
elements.				
Level 5:	Students with underlying language	Speech-language		
Deliver therapeutic	disorders learn the linguistic	pathologists engage		
language	underpinnings they need to	students in curriculum-		
intervention for those	acquire contentineracy sams and strategies.	refevant therapy.		
who need it.				

GUIDING QUESTIONS FOR DECIDING WHERE TO BEGIN

General Questions for All Levels:

- What types of gains does the school/district expect and how soon?
- What could be the effect on Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) if the school begins with this level?
- What does a gap analysis show (when the school analyzes what is currently in place vs. what they need)?
- Which level(s) offer the school the biggest bang for time, money and effort? What is the cost/benefit of beginning with this level?
- What are the indications from the research conducted by the University of Kansas and the experience of the schools implementing CLC™? What lessons have been learned?
- Does the school want/need to begin with interventions for a specific group of students and/or with a school-wide effort to bring all of the staff together in promoting adolescent literacy?
- What changes will be required to begin at a specific level?
- How ready is the staff for a particular level? What does the readiness assessment indicate?
- What do the results of the various walkthroughs indicate?

Level 1: Content Mastery

Does our school have needs/gaps in this level?	Should we begin with this level?
 Are students meeting Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in content areas? 	Are all courses aligned with state and local standards?
 Are students learning the content in general education classes regardless of their literacy skills? 	Are courses in a content area vertically aligned, i.e. do the teachers in that content area agree on the outcomes and critical
 Are students demonstrating mastery on quizzes, tests, assignments, and other measures of mastery? 	 content for each course in grades 7-12? Do all teachers use effective school-wide methods and routines to help all students
 What does our school currently have in place for this level? What do we need to put in place? 	 learn? Does the school want/need to begin with a school-wide effort to bring all of the staff
Do content teachers use methods and routines to help students learn the content and compensate for gaps in literacy skills?	together in promoting adolescent literacy?What types of gains does the school/district expect and how soon?

Level 2: Embedded Strategy Instruction

Does our school have needs/gaps in this level?	Should we begin with this level?
 Are students meeting Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in content areas? 	Do content teachers believe they are responsible for improving literacy and,
 Are students learning the content in general education classes regardless of their literacy skills? 	therefore, believe they should teach literacy skills (e.g., learning strategies) in their classes?
Are students demonstrating mastery on quizzes, tests, assignments, and other measures of mastery?	 Are content teachers willing to take the time needed in general education classes to teach learning strategies?
 What does our school currently have in place for this level? What do we need to put in place? 	 Does the school have a structure for supporting students who may need more intensive instruction and/or practice in strategies?
 Do students know effective and efficient learning strategies that help them learn content across general education classes? 	Does the school want/need to begin with a school-wide effort to bring all of the staff
Do content teachers teach students strategies to learn the content and demonstrate mastery?	 together to promote adolescent literacy? What types of gains does the school/district expect and how soon?

Level 3: Intensive Strategy Instruction

Does our school have needs/gaps in this level?	Should we begin with this level?
 Are students meeting Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in the area of literacy? Are students reading and writing at grade level? If not, are students reading and writing 2-3 years below grade level? Does the school use assessments to identify students who are below expectancy in reading and/or writing? What does our school currently have in place for this level? What services do we offer students? What do we need to put in place? Do special education and/or other support teachers teach students learning strategies? Do they provide explicit, intensive instruction in strategies and do students demonstrate mastery of those strategies? 	 Do special education teachers have time in their schedules to provide intensive instruction? If not, can their schedules/roles be changed to allow time for teaching students who need instruction in strategies? Does the school have a structure for providing intensive instruction in learning strategies (e.g., strategies course or strategic tutoring)? If no structure exists for providing intensive instruction, how soon could that structure be put in place? Do special education teachers believe it is their role to teach effective learning strategies to help all students learn? Does the school want/need to begin with intensive instruction for students who are 2-3 years below expectancy in literacy skills? What types of gains does the school/district expect and how soon?

Level 4: Intensive Reading (Basic Skill) Instruction

 Are students meeting Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in the area of literacy? Are students reading and writing at grade level? If not, are students reading and writing 3 or more years below grade level? Does the school use assessments to identify students who are below expectancy in reading and/or writing? What does our school currently have in place for this level? What services do we offer students? What do we need to put in place? Do reading specialists, language arts, special education and/or other teachers use research-based interventions to address students' severe language-based literacy deficits? Do they provide explicit, intensive instruction in basic literacy skills? Does the school have a structure for providing intensive instruction in basic literacy skills, e.g. reading course? If no structure exists for providing intensive instruction, how soon could that structure be put in place? Do teacher believe it is their role to teach basic literacy skills? Has the school/district adopted a research-based reading program? If not, how soon could the school/district choose a reading program and put it in place? Does the school want/need to begin with intensive instruction for students who are 3 or more years below expectancy in literacy skills? What types of gains does the school/district expect and how soon? 	Does our school have needs/gaps in this level?	Should we begin with this level?
I SKIIIS! I district expect and how soon?	 Are students meeting Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in the area of literacy? Are students reading and writing at grade level? If not, are students reading and writing 3 or more years below grade level? Does the school use assessments to identify students who are below expectancy in reading and/or writing? What does our school currently have in place for this level? What services do we offer students? What do we need to put in place? Do reading specialists, language arts, special education and/or other teachers use research-based interventions to address students' severe language-based literacy deficits? Do they provide explicit, intensive instruction in basic literacy 	 Does the school have a structure for providing intensive instruction in basic literacy skills, e.g. reading course? If no structure exists for providing intensive instruction, how soon could that structure be put in place? What would that require? Do teacher believe it is their role to teach basic literacy skills? Has the school/district adopted a research-based reading program? If not, how soon could the school/district choose a reading program and put it in place? Does the school want/need to begin with intensive instruction for students who are 3 or more years below expectancy in literacy skills? What types of gains does the school/

Level 5: Intensive Clinical/Therapeutic Intervention

 Are students meeting Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in the area of literacy? Are students reading and writing at grade level? If not, do these students have underlying language disorders? Does the school use assessments to identify students who have language impairments? What does our school currently have in place for this level? What services do we offer students? What do we need to put in place? Do speech-language pathologists use research-based interventions to address students' severe language-based literacy deficits? Do they provide explicit, intensive instruction in language skills? Do speech-language pathologists work with the other school professionals to provide curriculum-relevant therapy that interfaces with other interventions in the school? Are speech-language pathologists available to provide intensive intensive instructure exists and/or no professionals are available for providing intensive instruction, how soon could changes be made? What would that require? Does the school want/need to begin with intensive instruction for students who have significant language impairments? What types of gains does the school/district expect and how soon? 	Does our school have needs/gaps in this level?	Should we begin with this level?
in die school:	 Are students meeting Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in the area of literacy? Are students reading and writing at grade level? If not, do these students have underlying language disorders? Does the school use assessments to identify students who have language impairments? What does our school currently have in place for this level? What services do we offer students? What do we need to put in place? Do speech-language pathologists use research-based interventions to address students' severe language-based literacy deficits? Do they provide explicit, intensive instruction in language skills? Do speech-language pathologists work with the other school professionals to provide curriculum-relevant therapy 	 available to provide intensive intervention in the school? If no structure exists and/or no professionals are available for providing intensive instruction, how soon could changes be made? What would that require? Does the school want/need to begin with intensive instruction for students who have significant language impairments? What types of gains does the school/

Adolescent Literacy Action Plan

Date:	
strict:	iteracy Leadership Team:

Consider communication, professional development, coaching, data collection, feedback, monitoring and support

Resources Needed					
Person(s) Responsible					
Time Frame					
Action					
Goal					

Based on 10/12/12 adaptation from State Implementation & Scaling-up of Evidence-based Practices; MiBLSi; KUCRL NC CLC 6/19/17