Scientific Argumentation Routine Implementation Checklist Directions: Put a checkmark (J) by each behavior that occurs.

| Teacher: School: | Coach: Subject: | |
|---|--------------------|--|
| Date: Full Session or Partial Session Length of Session _ | Topic: | |
| OVER the tead | RALL | |
| Ensured all students were writing on their guides Kept a lively pace Involved a large majority of students | Comments: | |
| CUE the teacher | | |
| Named the Scientific Argumentation and Evaluation Guide Explained or elicited how it will help students Handed out blank guides Specified what they need to do to participate in the routine | Comments: | |
| DO STEP 1: Consider a claim and its qualifiers the teacher | | |
| Announced/elicited the claim Announced/elicited the qualifier | Comments: | |
| DO STEP 2: List evidence the teacher | | |
| Elicited evidence and guided paraphrase of Guided paraphrase of evidence statements | Comments: | |
| DO STEP 3: Identify the tead | | |
| Guided identification of types of evidence Cued abbreviations for types of evidence | Comments: | |
| DO STEP 4: Evaluate the tead | | |
| Guided evaluation of the quality of evidence Guided explanations of the quality of evidence | Comments: | |
| KUCRL, 2019 | | |

SIMTM fidelity checklists were developed originally by CRL researchers. Over the years, SIM Professional Developers have modified them and shared them back with the CRL. This collective version is intended for coaching purposes.

| Elicited/guided chain of reasoning name. Guided clear statement of the chain of reasoning | Comments: | |
|---|-----------|--|
| DO STEP 6: Identify type of reasoning the teacher | | |
| Guided identification of type of reasoning Cued abbreviations for types of reasoning | Comments: | |
| DO STEP 7: Evaluate the quality of chain of reasoning the teacher | | |
| Guided evaluation of chain of reasoning Scaffolded how to include all parts (how the reasoning allowed evidence to support the claim) | Comments: | |
| DO STEP 8: Make counter arguments, rebuttals or new questions known the teacher | | |
| Guided identification counterarguments or rebuttals Allowed exploration of new questions | Comments: | |
| DO STEP 9: Summarize conclusion and present reasoning the teacher | | |
| Allowed students to make individual decisions to accept, reject, or withhold acceptance of the claim Prompted students to explain their evaluation of the claim, evidence and reasoning that led to the decision | Comments: | |
| REVIEW the teacher | | |
| Asked questions prompting the students to ensure | Comments: | |

DO STEP 5: Identify chain of reasoning the teacher...

Asked questions prompting the students to ensure their understanding of the content learning Asked questions prompting the students to reflect about and review the process of analyzing and evaluating claims and supporting arguments

Strategic Instruction M

KUCRL, 2019

SIM™ fidelity checklists were developed originally by CRL researchers. Over the years, SIM Professional Developers have modified them and shared them back with the CRL. This collective version is intended for coaching purposes.